

REMARKS

It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised in the last response are not addressed in the final rejection.

1. In Barzebar the carrier frequency must be fixed to work with a particular telephone. With the present application, the user can simply buy a computer system, for example, use the remote control unit to control the computer system and cause the remote control unit to learn the carrier frequency of the user's pre-purchased wireless telephone system. The remote control then automatically tunes to the detected wireless frequency. There is no telephone unit including a detector to detect a carrier frequency "of a proximate wireless telephone" or a telephone unit "being tunable to automatically tune to the carrier frequency of the proximate wireless telephone." Among other reasons, this is because the unit in Barzebar is one whole unit and there is no separate telephone unit.

2. Dependent claims 27 or 28 specifically call for issuing a page from the user's wireless telephone and prompting the user to issue a page for the user's wireless telephone. The cited reference has no such system.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejections of claims 7, 27, and 28 is respectfully requested.


Similarly, claim 16 calls for determining the carrier frequency of a proximate wireless telephone and tuning the remote control unit to the carrier frequency so that the user can receive a telephone call through the remote control unit. Such an adaptable system is nowhere suggested in the cited reference.

Similarly, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 20 is respectfully requested.

In view of these remarks, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 7, 2007



Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation